

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1062 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.978 OF 2006

Rajendra Govind Mahale ...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Ms Anamika Malhotra i/b Mr.Gopal Bhagat for the Applicant
Mr.A.S.Shitole,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : NOVEMBER 28, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for State. The Applicant has been convicted for offence punishable under section 363, 366-A of the Indian Penal Code. He has been also convicted for offence under section 376 read with section 511 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. The learned A.P.P. has submitted that there are no criminal antecedents of the Applicant. Maximum substantive substance is of five years.

3. I have perused the impugned Judgment and notes of evidence. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail subject to stringent conditions.

4. Hence, I pass the following order :

- i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.
- ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will not enter the city of Ulhasnagar, District Thane till the disposal of the Appeal.
- iii) Order granting bail will be given effect only after the Applicant furnishes complete address of place of residence where he proposes to reside after he is enlarged on bail.
- iv) Bail is granted subject to the condition that the Applicant will report to concerned local Police Station on first Saturday of every calendar month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE